EXHIBIT 4

Cases 5:3:0 FYTRP 50827 HS	Document 22414	□Fiiled 105/22/14	Presence at af 5
~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Perminentocat.	CHERRIA MARIATE	rauter of 4.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

FILED

JUL X 3 2012

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN''

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST	No. M 07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827	
LITIGATION/	SPECIAL VERDIC	
This Order Relates To:		
Direct-Purchaser Plaintiff Class Actions		

We the jury unanimously find as follows on the questions submitted to us:

Question 1: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that Toshiba knowingly participated in a conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of TFT-LCD panels?



If your answer to this question is "Yes," please answer the next question. If your answer to this question is "No," please go to the end of the verdict form, and sign and date it where indicated.

- 1	
1	Question 2: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the
2	instructions given to you, that the conspiracy involved TFT-LCD panels and/or finished products
3	(notebook computers, computer monitors and televisions containing TFT-LCD panels) imported into
4	the United States?
5	
6	Yes No
7	If your answer to this question is "Yes," please answer the next question. If your answer to this question is "No," please go to Question 4.
8	
9	
10	Question 3: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the
11	instructions given to you, that the conspiracy involving imported TFT-LCD panels and/or finished
12	products (notebook computers, computer monitors and televisions containing TFT-LCD panels)
13	produced substantial intended effects in the United States?
14	Yes No
15	i i
16	Please answer the next question.
17	
18	Question 4: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the
19	instructions given to you, that the conspiracy involved conduct which had a direct, substantial and
20	reasonably foreseeable effect on trade or commerce in the United States?
21	
22	Yes
23	If your answer to either Question 3 or Question 4 is "Yes," please answer the next question. If your answer to both Question 3 and Question 4 is "No," please go to the end of the verdict form, and sign and
24	date it where indicated.
25	
26	
20 27	
Į	
28	j

- 1		
1	Question 5: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the	
2	instructions given to you, that members of the Panel Class were injured as a result of the conspiracy in	
3	which Toshiba knowingly participated?	
4		
5		
6	Yes No	
7	If your answer to this question "Yes," please answer the next question. If your answer to this question	
8	is "No," please go to Question 7.	
9	Question 6: What was the amount of damages the plaintiffs proved, by a preponderance of the evidence	
10	and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that members of the Panel Class suffered as a result	
11	of that injury?	
12	\$ 17,000,000 (Please fill in a dollar amount total)	
13	(Please fill in a dollar amount total) Please answer the next question.	
14		
15	Question 7: Did plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the	
16	instructions given to you, that members of the Finished Product Class were injured as a result of the	
17	conspiracy in which Toshiba knowingly participated?	
18		
19		
20	Yes No	
21	If your answer to this question "Yes," please answer the next question. If your answer to this question	
22	is "No," please go to the end of the verdict form, and sign and date it where indicated.	
23	Question 8: What was the amount of damages the plaintiffs proved, by a preponderance of the evidence	
24	and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that members of the Finished Product Class	
25	suffered as a result of that injury?	
26	\$ 10,000,000	
27	(Please fill in a dollar amount total)	
28		

Casase 3:10 724 702 50 927 154 Document 80314 Filled 175/3/4/24 Pages of af 5

Date: 3 July 2012

FOREPERSON

United States District Court For the Northern District of California